Mechanical Correction and Chemical Avoidance of Circling Roots Differentially Affect Post-transplant Root Regeneration and Field Establishment of Container-grown Shumard Oak
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Abstract. Quercus shumardii Buckl. seedlings were grown for 3 or 7 months in 2.3-liter black plastic containers. Containers were either treated or not on interior surfaces with 100 g Cu(OH)$_2$/liter latex carrier. Trees were transplanted in summer or fall to quantify post-transplant responses to mechanical correction or chemical prevention of circling roots. Four treatments were used at each transplant date; nonpruned seedlings from Cu(OH)$_2$-treated or nontreated containers, and seedlings from nontreated containers in which two mechanical root pruning techniques were used, traditional severing of circling roots on the rootball periphery or splitting and splaying the bottom two-thirds of the rootball at transplant (butterfly prunning). Traditional root pruning severed more small-diameter roots (≤0.5 mm), while butterfly pruning severed more large-diameter roots. During the first 21 days following transplant most root regeneration was via elongation of intact root tips. Cu(OH)$_2$-treated seedlings regenerated substantially more roots ≤1.0 mm in diameter and a greater root mass than mechanically root pruned or nonpruned seedlings. Both corrective mechanical pruning techniques resulted in greater predawn water stress during immediate post-transplant (21 days) establishment in October than seedlings chemically treated to prevent circling root development. Treatments that severed more roots and/or removed greater root mass were associated with decreased field performance and increased post-transplant water stress. Increased numbers of small- to medium-diameter new roots were associated with reduced post-transplant water stress and improved post-transplant shoot growth. Nonpruned and traditional root pruned seedlings grew little during the first two post-transplant growing seasons regardless of transplant date. Butterfly pruning resulted in severe dieback of shumard oak seedlings. Cu(OH)$_2$-treated seedlings were the only ones to exhibit a gain in height or stem diameter after 2 years in the field.

It has long been recognized that circling roots have the potential to adversely affect tree health and/or stability. Root restriction is an inherent problem with container-grown trees. With the increasing popularity of container-grown trees, landscapers, arborists, and landscape architects have become increasingly concerned with the potential for girdling roots (Appleton, 1993; Siebenthaler, 1993). Mechanical remediation of circling roots at transplanting has become a standard practice (Harris, 1992), although increased symptoms of transplant shock during field establishment can occur (Arnold and Struve, 1989b; Struve, 1993). Mechanical remediation of circling roots is typically accomplished at transplanting by making several vertical cuts down the exterior of the rootball (Gouin, 1983; Harris, 1992). Butterfly pruning (splitting and splaying apart the lower two-thirds of the rootball) has been suggested for planting sites with compacted or poorly drained soils (Gouin, 1983; Harris, 1992).

Chemical avoidance or reduction of circling roots via application of Cu to interior surfaces of containers before production has proven to be effective with many species (Beeson and Newton, 1992; Arnold and Struve, 1993; Struve et al., 1994). Effects of Cu-treated containers on root growth during container production have been well documented. Copper-treated containers decrease circling, matted, and kinked roots at the container wall–media interface (Arnold and Struve, 1989a, 1993; Beeson and Newton, 1992; Svenson and Brochat, 1992), increase root branching (Arnold and Struve, 1989a), increase the number of actively growing root tips (Arnold and Young, 1991), increase the uniformity of root distribution within the rootball (Arnold and Struve, 1993; Vartak, 1993), and in some species increase shoot extension, stem diameter, or dry matter accumulation (Arnold and Struve, 1989a, 1989b; Beeson and Newton, 1992; Ruter, 1994; Svenson et al., 1995). Improved plant water relations during container production have been demonstrated for tropical hibiscus (Hibiscus rosa-sinensis L., Case and Arnold, 1993) and red tip photinia (Photinia ×fraseri Dress, Vartak, 1993) grown in Cu-treated containers versus those in nontreated containers. Quercus acutissima Carruth, seedlings grown in Cu-treated containers had greater uptake of mineral nutrients (Arnold and Struve, 1993).

Few studies have investigated post-transplant growth responses of woody plants grown in Cu-treated containers. Enhanced growth or survival have been reported for some trees produced in Cu-treated containers over those from nontreated containers (Arnold and Struve, 1989b; Struve, 1993, 1994). Production of some species in Cu-treated containers has enhanced flowering following transplanting (Arnold et al., 1993; Svenson and Johnston, 1995). A greater root mass outside the original rootball (Arnold and Struve, 1989b) was associated with trees transplanted from CuCO$_3$-treated versus nontreated containers, but to date root morphology, the phenology of root growth and water relations have not been investigated. Detailed characterization of alternative techniques for mechanical correction and chemical avoidance of circling roots on root regeneration and their relationship to plant water status and
transplant establishment are lacking.

The objective of this study was to characterize the effects of alternative chemical avoidance and mechanical correction of circling roots on the post-transplant relationships among the rate, size and spacial distribution of regenerated roots, leaf water potential, and subsequent field performance of container-grown shumard oaks.

Materials and Methods

Production conditions. In March 1993, 80 Q. shumardii seedlings (10 to 15 cm tall, Greenleaf Nursery Co., Houston, Texas) were planted in 2.3-liter black plastic containers (Lerio Corp., El Campo, Texas) containing a 3 pine bark: 1 sand (by volume) medium amended with 3.5 kg dolomite, 1.75 kg gypsum, and 0.86 kg Micromax trace elements (Sierra Chemical Co., Milpitas, Calif.) per m³. Twenty containers were treated on interior surfaces with Cu(OH)₂ at the rate of 100 g·liter⁻¹ latex carrier (SpinOut, Griffin Corp., Houston, Texas) before planting, 60 were not treated. Containers were placed on 75-cm-tall benches in a greenhouse with day/night temperatures set at 22/16°C. Natural photoperiods were interrupted from 0000 to 0400 h using 40-W incandescent bulbs suspended 1 m apart and 0.5 m above the bench-top. Sixteen grams of 18N–3.1P–8.3K water soluble complete fertilizer (Sierrablen, O.M. Scotts, Marysville, Ohio) were placed on the medium surface of each container. Seedlings were hand watered as needed and fertigated weekly with 200 mg N/liter from a 24N–3.5P–13K water soluble complete fertilizer (O.M. Scotts). On 5 May 1993, seedlings were moved outdoors under 55% light exclusion. Irrigation was applied via spot spitters (Roberts Irrigation Products, San Marcos, Calif.) and weekly fertigation continued as before. Seedlings were staked and trained to a central leader.

Greenhouse conditions. On 6 June and 11 Oct. 1993, twenty seedlings, five grown in Cu(OH)₂-treated containers and fifteen from nontreated containers, were root pruned to rectangular root observation boxes (22×22×28 cm) with plexiglas side and bottom panels (five 0.75-cm drainage holes) and wood frames of 2.5-cm quarter-round exterior-treated wood molding, containing 13 liters of the pine bark–sand medium. Seedlings from nontreated containers were divided into three groups. Five seedlings were not root pruned at transplanting. The rootballs of five seedlings were split in half vertically for two-thirds of their height from bottom to top and the split halves splayed apart (butterfly root pruning, Gouin, 1983). The remaining seedlings were root pruned by making four evenly distributed vertical slits 1 cm deep from top to bottom on the exterior of the rootball and removing matted roots at the bottom of the container (traditional root pruning, Bush-Brown and Bush-Brown, 1980). Dry weights (5 days at 70°C) of severed portions of roots that could be removed without further disturbing the rootball were recorded. The diameter of all pruned roots were measured at the point of severance.

Exterior surfaces of rootballs were sprayed with 5 g methylene blue/liter water before transplanting to assist in distinguishing roots present at planting from subsequently regenerated roots (Arnold and Young, 1990). The mean distance from the transplanted rootball to the observation panels was 8.6 cm. Root observation boxes were placed inside two 4-mil black plastic trash bags drawn to within 3 cm of the base of the plants. The individual plant replicates were arranged in a completely randomized design. Greenhouse conditions and culture were as described above. Temperatures were monitored using a Oaklon hygrothermograph (model 08369-70; Cole-Parmer Instr. Co., Chicago). Maximum deviations from day/night set points for temperatures and relative humidity (max./min.) were June, 33/17°C, 83%/59%, and October, 28/12°C, 85%/63%.

Midday (1230 to 1330 h) and subsequent predawn (0400 to 0530 h) xylem water potentials (Ψ) of the most recently fully expanded leaf from each seedling were measured using a pressure chamber (model 610; PMS Instrument Co., Corvallis, Ore.) at transplanting and 1, 3, 7, 14, and 21 days after. At 3-day intervals (through 21 days after transplanting), live root (no evidence of decay, tips white to light brown) extension was traced on clear acetate sheets placed against the observation panels. The terminal location of the tip was marked with the date and roots were labeled as small (<0.5 mm in diameter) or large (>0.5 mm in diameter). Information on higher order lateral roots branching from traced roots was not recorded. Root tracings were used to calculate root extension rates and to estimate sectors of origin of regenerated roots. Root observation surfaces were divided into four equal quadrants to estimate the spatial distribution of regenerated roots.

Table 1. Growth effects of significant interactions among transplanting time and root pruning treatments on shumard oak seedlings during the first 3 weeks following transplanting to root observation boxes in a greenhouse and during two growing seasons in the field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month of transplanting</th>
<th>Root pruning</th>
<th>Total plant dry wt (g)</th>
<th>Pruned root dry wt (g)</th>
<th>Root regeneration outside original rootball extension (cm/day)</th>
<th>Field responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Upper half (no./plant)</td>
<td>Lower half (no./plant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>Nonpruned</td>
<td>8.27 c</td>
<td>0.00 c</td>
<td>0.83 a</td>
<td>7 ab 26 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>7.12 c</td>
<td>0.37 b</td>
<td>0.64 bc</td>
<td>3 b 14 cde 32 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Butterfly</td>
<td>9.17 c</td>
<td>0.37 b</td>
<td>0.73 ab</td>
<td>3 b 32 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cupric hydroxide</td>
<td>12.74 c</td>
<td>0.00 c</td>
<td>0.58 cd</td>
<td>7 ab 51 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>Nonpruned</td>
<td>37.98 b</td>
<td>0.00 c</td>
<td>0.52 cde</td>
<td>11 ab 5 de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>33.48 b</td>
<td>0.84 b</td>
<td>0.55 bcde</td>
<td>7 ab 4 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Butterfly</td>
<td>42.33 b</td>
<td>1.63 a</td>
<td>0.47 de</td>
<td>14 a 5 de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cupric hydroxide</td>
<td>60.76 a</td>
<td>0.00 c</td>
<td>0.44 e</td>
<td>16 a 22 bcd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means of observations from five plants.

Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P ≤ 0.05 using least squares means procedure.

Regenerated roots >0.5 mm in diameter contacting root observation panels.

Negative values indicate shoot dieback.
Table 2. Main effects of root pruning treatments on shumard oak seedlings during the first 3 weeks following transplanting to root observation boxes in a greenhouse or two growing seasons in the field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Root pruning treatment</th>
<th>Greenhouse responses</th>
<th>Field responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At transplant</td>
<td>After 21 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ht (cm)   Stem diam (mm)</td>
<td>New root dry wt (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonpruned</td>
<td>61 b7 7.6 b 0.87 b</td>
<td>50 b 40 b 7.1 b 10 b 0.1 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>68 b 8.1 b 0.78 b</td>
<td>43 b 18 c 4.8 c 24 c 2.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butterfly</td>
<td>84 a 8.8 a 2.09 a</td>
<td>83 a 93 a 9.1 a 10 a 2.9 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupric hydroxide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means of observations on 10 plants.

Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P ≤ 0.05, least squares means procedure.

Results and Discussion

Production responses. By fall transplanting, seedlings grown in Cu(OH)₂-treated containers had greater dry weight (Table 1) than those from nontreated containers and had greater height and stem diameter across transplant times (Table 2). Similar growth increases during container production have been reported for other Quercus spp. grown in Cu(OH)₂-treated containers (Arnold, 1992; Arnold and Struve, 1993; Beeson and Newton, 1992). Quercus shumardii shoot extension is via recurrent flushes. About half (8 greenhouse, 10 field) of the seedlings were in an active growth flush at the June transplant while no terminal buds were expanding during the October transplant. Seedlings transplanted in October were substantially larger than those transplanted in June (Table 1).

Greenhouse studies. A greater root mass was severed by the root pruning treatments in October than in June (Table 1). Traditional and butterfly root pruning resulted in removal of similar masses of roots in June while the butterfly technique removed a greater root mass in October (Table 1). However, the number of roots severed per plant by mechanical pruning practices in June (Fig. 1) were greater than in October. Traditional root pruning severed more small (≤1.5 mm in diameter) roots than butterfly

Fig. 1. Mean number (± standard error) of roots of various diameters severed per plant using two mechanical root pruning methods to correct circling roots on 2.3-liter rootballs of container-grown Quercus shumardii seedlings transplanted in June or October. Values are the means of observations on five plants per treatment.
pruning in June, while in October similar numbers of small roots were severed (Fig. 1). The discrepancy between root mass (Table 1) and number data (Fig. 1) could be attributed to pruning a greater number of roots ≤0.5 mm in diameter in June, while in October a greater proportion of pruned roots were of larger diameter (Fig. 1), particularly with butterfly pruning. Mechanical root pruning relative to nonpruned seedlings had no impact on the mass of regenerated roots during the first 21 days following transplanting, whereas Cu(OH)₂-treated seedlings regenerated more than twice the mass of new roots of other treatments (Table 2).

Roots first appeared within 3 days for both root diameter classes and transplant dates (Fig. 2). However, more roots appeared in June than in October within nine to twelve days of transplant (Fig. 2). Traditional root pruning reduced the number of small diameter roots regenerated (Fig. 3A) compared to other treatments in June. Nonpruned and mechanically root pruned seedlings required longer to have a given number of roots present at observation panels compared to Cu(OH)₂-treated seedlings (Fig. 2A–D). This appeared to be due to a difference in the total number of roots regenerated rather than a difference in the rate of root elongation, as no treatment differences were found for elongation rates of roots ≤0.5 mm in diameter in June or October (data not presented), and nonpruned and butterfly pruned seedlings had greater mean daily rates of elongation of roots >0.5 mm in diameter than did traditionally pruned or Cu(OH)₂-treated seedlings in June (Table 1). The slower rates of elongation of roots >0.5 mm diameter on Cu(OH)₂-treated seedlings may have been due to a carry over of Cu inhibition of root elongation, but root elongation of Fraxinus pennsylvanica Marsh. inhibited by a mild Cu toxicity (from CuCO₃) returned to similar elongation rates as nonexposed roots following removal of the source of the Cu exposure (Arnold and Struve, 1989a). Internal competition for available growth substances within the whole plant and within the root system occurs, particularly under limiting conditions (Brouwer, 1983). Slower root elongation rates for Cu(OH)₂-treated seedlings could have been due to the much greater number of roots (Fig. 3) competing for photosynthates.

More regenerated roots were ≤0.5 mm in diameter in June, while roots of ≤0.5 to ≤1.5 mm in diameter constituted most roots regenerated in October (Fig. 3A). Most roots emerging from the rootball into the surrounding planting media originated from nondamaged roots present at planting (Fig. 3B). Most new roots originating from pruned roots were ≤0.5 to ≤1.5 mm in diameter for traditional and butterfly pruned seedlings (Fig. 3B). The small number of regenerated roots >0.5 mm in diameter from pruned roots present on nonpruned seedlings (Fig. 3B) were a result of root initiation from small roots at the exterior of the rootball that were unintentionally damaged during the transplanting process. Cu(OH)₂-treated seedlings regenerated substantially more roots than nonpruned and mechanically root pruned seedlings in both June and October (Fig. 3A). While much of this increase was a result of an increase in the number of roots ≤0.5 mm in diameter, an increase in the regeneration of roots between 0.6 and 1.5 mm in diameter was also evident, particularly in October (Fig. 3A).

The vertical (Table 1), but not horizontal (data not presented), distribution of regenerated roots was affected by treatments. The number of roots contacting both the upper and lower halves of the observation panels for Cu(OH)₂-treated seedlings was equal to or greater than that of other treatments (Table 1). In June roots
contacting the lower half of the panels constituted 83% to 87% of the roots for all treatments (Table 1). In October over half of the roots for nonpruned and mechanically pruned seedlings were in the top half of the profile while more regenerated roots of Cu(OH)_2-treated seedlings contacted observation panels in the lower half of the profile (Table 1). Previous studies have documented alterations in root distribution while plants were in Cu(OH)_2-treated containers (Arnold and Struve, 1993; Gilman and Beeson, 1995; Vartak, 1993). The present study suggests that the changes in vertical distribution of the root system may carry over to the early phases of field establishment.

In the greenhouse, shoot elongation continued during the 21 days following June transplanting (14 cm mean elongation), but in October terminal buds did not elongate. Midday leaf ψ was more negative in June than October (Fig. 4A vs. B). Moisture stress levels that induced stomatal closure were not determined for the *Quercus shumardii* seedlings used in this study, but Beeson (1994) reported that reductions in stomatal conductance of live oak (*Q. virginiana* Mill.) did not occur until the stem ψ were more negative than –1.8 MPa. Midday ψ approached this level only at 14 and 21 days after June transplant (Fig. 4A and B), suggesting low moisture stress conditions in the greenhouse studies. No consistent pruning treatment differences were observed for midday ψ (Fig. 4A and B).

Interestingly, June transplanted seedlings exhibited better recovery from the previous day’s water stress as measured by predawn ψ (Fig. 4C) than did October transplanted seedlings (Fig. 4D), particularly for mechanically pruned seedlings. Predawn ψ of Cu(OH)_2-treated seedlings indicated better recovery from the previous day’s water stress than other treatments on most days following October transplant (Fig. 4D). A similar but less pronounced trend was apparent in June (Fig. 4C). Mechanical root pruning appeared to hamper predawn ψ recovery most in October (Fig. 4D), corresponding to the greatest removal of root mass (Table 1) and proportion of roots >1.5 mm in diameter pruned at transplant by mechanical pruning techniques (Fig. 1). Butterfly pruning in October resulted in the poorest predawn ψ recovery on all sample dates (Fig. 4D).

Field responses. Minimal shoot elongation occurred during the first year in the field for all treatments (data not presented). October transplanted seedlings retained a height advantage over June transplanted seedlings (65 cm vs. 32 cm) following two growing seasons in the field. Nonpruned and traditional root pruned seedlings remained at a similar size or exhibited slight dieback after two years in the field (Table 2). Butterfly pruning resulted in a substantial net reduction in height (Table 2), primarily due to dieback during the second growing season in the field for October transplanted seedlings (Table 1). Therefore, this practice should be avoided during transplanting of shumard oak. Cupric hydroxide-treated seedlings were the only group to gain in height and stem diameter in the field study (Table 2), mostly due to shoot growth during the second growing season (Table 1). While shoot growth in the field was unremarkable for all treatments (Table 2), Cu(OH)_2-treated seedlings did not suffer the dieback that was observed with the other treatments (Table 2). The net result of container production and two growing seasons in the field were Cu(OH)_2-treated seedlings that averaged 93 cm in height and 9.1 mm in stem diameter versus 43 cm to 18 cm in height and 7.1 mm to 4.8 mm in stem diameter with other treatments (Table 2). Improved post-transplant performance of CuCO_3-treated seedlings compared to traditionally pruned seedlings have been reported for *F. pennsylvania* and *Q. rubra* L. (Arnold and Struve, 1989b), but detailed characterization of regenerated roots and water potentials were not measured. Struve (1993) found that *Q. rubra* and *Q. coccinea* Muench. seedlings grown in Cu(OH)_2-treated containers exhibited greater post-transplant survival and shoot growth after 3 years than nonpruned or root pruned (similar to traditional pruning in this study) seedlings, while shoot growth of *Acer rubrum* L. and *Liquidambar styraciflua* L. was unaffected.

In general, the removal of a greater root mass at transplant (Table 1) decreased post-transplant shoot growth (Table 2). Conversely, a greater number (Fig. 3A) and/or mass (Table 2) of regenerated roots during the first few weeks post-transplant increased subsequent shoot growth (Table 2). Improved root regeneration (Fig. 3A) may be associated with improved field performance via a reduction in water stress (Fig. 4) during the immediate post-transplant period. The total number of roots per plant present at observation panels was correlated with midday ψ (R^2 = 0.33, P < 0.01) across transplant times, root diameters, and pruning/container treatment. The number of regenerated roots ≤0.5 mm diameter (R^2 = 0.49, P ≤ 0.03) and roots > 0.5 mm diameter (R^2 = 0.54, P < 0.01) were correlated with predawn ψ in October.

The study presented in this paper quantitatively documents the effects of alternative mechanical and chemical solutions to the problem of circling root development in containers on root regeneration and leaf ψ during immediate post-transplant establishment, and verifies that subsequent differential growth effects can persist for at least 2 years in the field. Gilman and Beeson (1995) suggested that the lack of roots, which would be in direct soil contact, immediately post-transplant at the periphery of the rootball of Cu(OH)_2-treated seedlings might increase water stress for a short time, but ψ was not measured. Such was not the case in this study (Fig. 4). Two factors that may explain this discrepancy: remedial root pruning to correct circling roots severs a large number of roots (Fig. 1), many of which are at the surface of the rootball, and the data suggests that there is a large increase in the number of small diameter roots that are regenerated from Cu(OH)_2-treated seedlings during the critical first weeks following transplanting (Fig. 3). Previous container production studies (Arnold...
and Struve, 1989a) have documented that a more evenly distributed fibrous root system exists in plants grown in containers coated with Cu that can contribute to greater water (Vartak, 1993) and mineral nutrient uptake (Arnold and Struve, 1993) compared to plants in nontreated containers. The more evenly distributed fibrous root system in the rootball and the greater number (Fig. 3) and mass (Table 2) of roots regenerated during immediate post-transplant establishment likely contribute to the observed reductions in plant water stress (Fig. 4) and thus offer a possible explanation for the increased post-transplant growth relative to nonpruned plants and those mechanically root pruned at transplant (Table 2). In a sense, Cu(OH)_2-treated plants can be considered to be root pruned constantly during production and thus are constantly regenerating roots behind the chemically pruned root tips. These roots have already been initiated before transplanting and only need to elongate beyond the periphery of the rootball during post-transplant establishment, whereas plants that are mechanically root pruned at transplant must first initiate new roots and then these roots would elongate beyond the rootball periphery into the surrounding soil.
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